

### REMARKS

The Office Action mailed March 23, 2005, follows an amendment after Decision on Appeal. The previous amendment was made to place the admittedly-allowed claim in independent form, and therefore in condition for allowance. As a result, no art-based rejections have been asserted by the present Office Action. Instead, the Office Action has rejected the claims stating the each of the independent claims is being construed as a means-plus-function claim, and alleging that each is a single-element means-plus-function claim, which is improper.

Applicant does not agree with the Examiner's conclusion. However to expedite prosecution of this matter, Applicant has amended the independent claims to specify multiple elements, to thereby address and overcome these rejections.

Accordingly, all claims 14, 16-19, and 21-22 are in condition for allowance.

No fee is believed to be due in connection with this response. If, however, any fee is deemed to be payable, you are hereby authorized to charge any such fee to Hewlett-Packard Company's deposit account No. 0 8-2025.

Respectfully submitted,



Daniel R. McClure  
Registration No. 38,962

**THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.**  
Suite 1750  
100 Galleria Parkway N.W.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30339  
(770) 933-9500